

# NZEB-like Educational Buildings

## Pilot projects from 13 countries

**Authors:** Hans Erhorn, Fraunhofer Institute for Building Physics, Germany  
Heike Erhorn-Kluttig, Fraunhofer Institute for Building Physics, Germany

### Introduction

Throughout the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive (EPBD) it is requested that “*the public sector in each Member State should lead the way in the field of energy performance of buildings*” and “*buildings occupied by public authorities and buildings frequently visited by the public should set an example*”. New buildings occupied and owned by public authorities shall be Nearly Zero-Energy Buildings (NZEB) two years earlier than all other types of new buildings. Among the most promising public building types to act as lighthouse projects are educational buildings including school buildings. They are visited by different age groups like pupils, teachers and parents. Furthermore, educational buildings are often used for providing lectures on energy efficiency and environmental awareness, showcasing actual improvements of the buildings’ envelope and the buildings’ own technical services systems, as well as on how to support energy savings by responsible user behaviour.

### A collection of pilot projects within CA EPBD

#### Database

Between November 2015 and May 2016, the Concerted Action EPBD has collected and discussed detailed information on good practice examples of NZEB(-like) educational buildings in the different Member States (MSs). In total, 17 examples of educational buildings have been collected and compared, three of which are kindergartens, eight are schools (mostly primary schools), two are combined kindergartens and schools, and four are university buildings. Figure 1 gives a graphical overview of the pilot projects.


















					
IT-1: Childcare Centre Cologno Monzese	FI-1: Luhtaa Nursery	SL-1: Kindergarten in Preddvor © Jelovica HIŠE d.o.o.	AU-1: Elementary School and Kindergarten Albrechtsberg	NL-1: Multifunctional School Houthaven © Marlies Rohmer	AU-2: Primary School Mariagrün © Markus Kaiser
					
FR-1: École François Mitterrand © Bruno Patel	DE-1: Plus Energy School Hohen Neuendorf	NO-1: Brynseng Skole	NO-2: Frydenhaug Skole	PL-1: Primary School in Bielawa	PL-2: Primary School in Budzów
					
UK-1: Bushbury Hill Primary School <sup>1</sup>	BG-1: Technical University Sofia © Technical University Sofia	HR-1: Faculty of Agriculture, University of Osijek	DK-1: Green Lighthouse © The VELUX Group, credits Adam Mørk	HU-1: Sustainable Building Energy Information Centre Debrecen	

Figure 1. Photos of the 17 different NZEB-like educational buildings collected within the CA EPBD.



### Cross comparison of the used building and technical systems technologies

Case study	IT-1	FI-1	SL-1	AU-1	NL-1	AU-2	FR-1	DE-1	NO-1	NO-2	PL-1	PL-2	UK-1	BG-1	HU-1	DK-1	HU-1		
Type	Kindergarten			Kinderg.+School		School (mostly primary schools)							University buildings						
Floor area [m <sup>2</sup> ]	580	1,603	1,347	1,278	6,500	2,500	3,558	6,563	10,737	5,085	681	821	1,900	1,630	18,717	950	300		
U-value [W/m <sup>2</sup> K]	Walls	0.19	0.09	0.10	0.15	0.20	∅ 0.16	∅ 0.15	0.14	∅ 0.16	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.35	0.40	0.095	0.16	
	Windows	1.17	0.66	0.88	∅ 0.81	1.10	∅ 0.65	1.76	0.80	∅ 0.73	0.80	∅ 0.78	∅ 0.78	0.90	1.70	1.1	0.72	1.10	
	Roof	0.17	0.06	0.09	0.11	0.20	∅ 0.11	0.08	0.11	∅ 0.12	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.26	0.30	0.084	0.15	
	Basement	0.20	0.07	0.12	0.54	0.20	∅ 0.11	∅ 0.17	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.17	0.08	0.06	0.56	∅ 0.38	0.085	0.22	
Building service systems	Space heating (H)	Ground water heat pump	District heating	District heating	Biomass boiler	District heating	Local DH: gas boiler in next building	Gas cond. boilers	Biomass CHP	Geo-thermal HP	Geo-thermal HP, solar thermal	Geo-thermal heat pump	Geo-thermal heat pump	Gas condensing boiler	Ambient air heat pump	Water/ water heat pump	DH: heat driven HP	DH, air/ water HP	
	DHW	Ground water heat pump	District heating	District heating	Biomass boiler	District heating	Local DH: gas boiler in next building	Decentral/gas	Biomass CHP	HP + el.	Geo-thermal HP, solar thermal	Geo-thermal heat pump	Geo-thermal heat pump	Gas condensing boiler	Decentral/el.	Water/ water heat pump	DH + solar th.	Solar th. +DH+HP	
	Cooling (C)	Free cooling	-	Via vent. s.	Night ventil.	DC (lake water)	-	-	-	Free c.: bore h.	Free c.: wells	Geo-thermal heat pump	Geo-thermal heat pump	-	VRF system	Adiab. c.: wells	HP, night vent.	Air/ water HP	
	Ventilation (V)	Mechan.	HR	75% HR	HR	70% HR	HR	80% HR	w/o HR	Decentral	Pres./CO <sub>2</sub> control	70% HR	82% HR	80% HR	Winter: 80% HR	HP + 75% HR	85% HR	Winter	HR, CO <sub>2</sub> /temp. c.
		Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	windows	Autom. windows	-	-	-	-	Summer: windows	-	-	Summer: natural	-
Lighting (L)	Energy saving lamps	LED pres. detect.	CFL manual	Un-known	Pres. detect.	Un-known	T5, pres. detect.	T5/LED, pres./dl. detect.	LED/T5, pres./dl. detect.	LED/T5 DALI control	LED manual	LED manual	CFL pres. detect.	Energy saving lamps	Energy saving lamps	LED/daylight depend.	Pres./dl. detect.		
Renewable energy	Thermal	Geo-thermal	Biomass + waste in DH	Biomass in DH	Biomass	Waste in DH; DC	-	-	Biomass CHP	Geo-thermal, free c.	Geo-thermal, solar th.	Geo-thermal	Geo-thermal	-	Ambient	Water wells	Geo-thermal, solar th.	Ambient + solar thermal	
	Electrical	PV	110 m <sup>2</sup>	150 m <sup>2</sup>	98 kWp	213 m <sup>2</sup>	X	-	406 m <sup>2</sup>	400 m <sup>2</sup>	1,100 m <sup>2</sup>	-	75 m <sup>2</sup>	-	-	-	-	60 m <sup>2</sup>	-
		Others	-	In grid 56%	-	-	-	-	-	Biomass CHP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final energy	Included	H	DHW	C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		V	L	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total [kWh/m <sup>2</sup> .yr]	19.6	116.0	44.5	88.6	10.1	54.3	33.7	33.7	44.1	37.0	51.8	67.9	79.0	16.0	43.0	12.0	28.5	
RES [% of total]	30	50	80 +PV	100	52	0	21	21	36	51	38	25	0	63	Unkn.	90	65		
Primary energy [kWh/m <sup>2</sup> yr]	42.9	76.0	61.5	Unkn.	16.1	86.0	-7.5	-0.5	Not used	Not used	Unkn.	104.0	193.0	47.9	68.8	3.0	28.5		
Improvem. on regulation [%]	30	60	100	69	45	Unkn.	> 100	100	40	38	Unkn.	24	80	78	33	97	67		
Additional costs	[€/m <sup>2</sup> ]	Unkn.	235	Unkn.	Unkn.	333	Unkn.	Unkn.	Unkn.	135	Unkn.	Unkn.	Unkn.	0	Unkn.	Unkn.	2,600	316	
	[% of total]	Unkn.	10	Unkn.	Unkn.	14	Unkn.	Unkn.	Unkn.	3	Unkn.	Unkn.	Unkn.	0	Unkn.	Unkn.	50	27	

Table 1. Comparison of the main characteristics of the collected pilot projects.

#### Abbreviations used in Table 1:

A: Appliances	d.l.: daylight	Mechan.: Mechanical ventilation system
Adiab. c.: Adiabatic cooling	depend.: dependent	Night vent.: Night ventilation
Autom.: Automatic control	el.: electrical	Pres. detect.: Presence detection
bore h.: bore holes	free c.: free cooling	PV: photovoltaic
C: Cooling	Gas cond. boiler: Gas condensing boiler	solar th.: solar thermal
CFL: Compact fluorescent lighting	H: Space heating	temp. c.: temperature control
CHP: Combined heat and power unit	HP: Heat pump	Unkn.: Unknown
DALI: Digital addressable lighting interface	HR: Heat recovery	V: Ventilation
DC: District cooling	Improvem.: Improvement	Via vent. s.: Via ventilation system
DH: District heating	L: Lighting	VRF: Variable refrigerant flow
DHW: Domestic hot water	Kinderg.: Kindergarten	w/o: without

#### Main results of the comparison

- ❖ Concrete/masonry construction was the most commonly used, although several of the buildings are of lightweight construction. The windows are triple-glazed in ten of the buildings, and double-glazed in four of the buildings. U-values were found within the following ranges:
  - Walls: 0.09 – 0.40 W/m<sup>2</sup>K (average: 0.16 W/m<sup>2</sup>K)
  - Windows: 0.60 – 1.76 W/m<sup>2</sup>K (average: 0.97 W/m<sup>2</sup>K)
  - Roof: 0.06 – 0.30 W/m<sup>2</sup>K (average: 0.13 W/m<sup>2</sup>K)
  - Ground/cellar ceiling: 0.06 – 0.56 W/m<sup>2</sup>K (average: 0.19 W/m<sup>2</sup>K)
- ❖ For space heating, heat pumps are used in nine of 17 examples, gas boilers in two examples, biomass in one example, district heating in six examples and a combined heat and power unit based on wood pellets in one example. Hot water is mostly generated in combination with the space heating but some buildings have additional water heating features like electrical top-up and solar thermal panels. One example uses decentralised electric water heating.
- ❖ Twelve of the buildings include cooling systems with five of them using a reversible heat pump, one using a district cooling system, three using free cooling, one using adiabatic cooling, two using night ventilation and one having cooling built into the ventilation system.
- ❖ All buildings include elements of demand controlled mechanical ventilation, 14 with heat recovery and three without heat recovery. Controls are based on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, occupancy, humidity or temperature.
- ❖ Lighting controls are based on manual control (four examples) or occupancy control (six examples). Daylighting control is included in five schools. One building uses DALI (Digital Addressable Lighting Interface) controls.
- ❖ Four buildings have no Renewable Energy Sources (RES) system included. Photovoltaic systems are installed in ten of the buildings. Three buildings have solar thermal panels on the roof. RES (waste heat and/or biomass) are also included in the district heating systems used in three of the buildings, one of which also uses water from a nearby lake for cooling.
- ❖ The average final energy use for the buildings is 50.5 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year but includes partly differing energy demands. The average primary energy use is 55.3 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year.
- ❖ The improvement compared to current requirements is on average 68%. The average renewable energy contribution rate is 49%.
- ❖ The documented additional costs compared to conventional new educational buildings are on average 603 €/m<sup>2</sup> floor area (17% of total costs), or 204 €/m<sup>2</sup> (11% of total costs) if the by far most expensive example is not included.

The variety in the thermal quality of the building envelope and in used service system technologies shows the impact of the different climatic conditions, regional building culture, user expectancies, and specific aims of the pilot projects.

## Conclusion

The collection of NZEB-like educational buildings demonstrated that many countries are using public pilot projects in order to gain experience with the building standard, and to motivate building owners of private and commercial buildings to develop and realise NZEB in advance of the 2019/2021 deadline. The analysis of the case studies showed however that the additional investment costs, with an average of 11% (or about 200 € per m<sup>2</sup> floor area), are still substantial.

## Further information

The European Commission has co-funded several projects that deal with energy efficient school buildings over the last years, including:

- ❖ EU IEE project ZEMedS (<http://www.zemedes.eu>)
- ❖ EU FP7 demonstration project School of the Future ([www.school-of-the-future.eu](http://www.school-of-the-future.eu))
- ❖ EU CIP project VERYSchool ([www.buildup.eu/en/explore/links/veryschool-project-learning-doing](http://www.buildup.eu/en/explore/links/veryschool-project-learning-doing))
- ❖ EU IEE project RENEW SCHOOL ([www.renew-school.eu](http://www.renew-school.eu))

Additionally, national programmes for improving the energy efficiency of schools and other educational buildings are available in:

- ❖ Germany: *BMWi* research focus *EnEff:Schule* ([www.eneff-schule.de](http://www.eneff-schule.de)), *BMUB* research initiative *Effizienzhaus Plus* (including schools, <http://www.forschungsinitiative.de/effizienzhaus-plus>)
- ❖ Austria: *Klimaschulen*, ein Programm des Klima- und Energiefonds [Climate Schools, a programme of the Climate and Energy Fund] (<http://klimaschulen.at/>).

---

*i* <http://www.elementalsolutions.co.uk/passivhaus-schools>

The sole responsibility for the content of this document lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Union. Neither the EASME nor the European Commission are responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.